

CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR 2002 PROJECT SUMMARY

Name(s)	Project Number
Anthony T. Nguyen	
Project Title	22675
Active Noise Control: Reducing Noise by Adding Noise	
Active Proise Control: Reducing Proise by Adding Proise	
$\sim \bigvee \overset{\circ}{}$	
Abstract	
Objectives/Goals	
The objective is to determine the effectiveness of active noise control as function	its of toise frequency,
noise type, and the distance between the noise and anti-noise sources F also proved the principle of active noise control using an active noise control electric circuit board and beadphones. My hypothesis is that	
active noise control is most effective with low frequency, and that the noise type and distance will not	
affect the performance of active noise control.	
Methods/Materials	
Materials used include a test chamber made of wood and acquistic foam, 2 spea	kers, a noise level meter, a
sound synthesizer, and electronic components for my circuit board. Tests were conducted using noise and anti-noise sources of different frequencies (200 Hz to 1000 Hz) and different types (sine, sawtooth,	
squarewaye, daily noises). To test the effect of distance the speaker were separated from 2 inches to 8	
inches. The sound level with and without active noise control is recorded as a function of frequency,	
squarewave, daily noises). To test the effect of distance, the speakers were separated from 2 inches to 8 inches. The sound level with and without active noise control is recorded as a function of frequency, noise source, and distance between the noise and anti-noise source. The electronic circuit board was tested with headphones to reduce background noises while listening to music.	
tested with headphones to reduce background noises while listening to music.	
At two inches separation, the 200 Hz has an average of 30% reduction in noise intensity. Also, the sine and sawtooth function displayed similar patterns in cancellation. As the frequency increases, the percent	
of reduction decreases. At eight inches severation, the effect of frequency and wave shape is less	
of reduction decreases. At eight inches separation, the effect of frequency and wave shape is less significant and the average percentage of reduction is reduced as well. The test with the analog circuit shows about a 5-dB decrease in the background noise. 71% of those surveyed know about noise	
shows about a 5-dB decrease in the background noise. 1% of those surveyed know about noise	
pollution. However, only 37% confectly answered now active noise control works.	
Conclusions/Discussion	
Active noise control is more effective with low frequency noises than high frequency noises. The shape of the noise source affects the results of active noise control. The sine and sawtooth function behaved	
similarly because of their similar share. As a crive noise control performs better at shorter distances	
of the noise control is more effective with owner quericy noises than high frequency noises. The shape of the noise source affects the results of active noise control. The sine and sawtooth function behaved similarly because of their similar shape. Also, active noise control performs better at shorter distances rather than father distances. Finally, people are aware of active noise control and noise pollution, but they are not aware about the details befund these topics. In conclusion, active noise control is affected by the frequency and shape of the reigner of the distances between the poise source and onti poise source.	
are not aware about the details behind these topics. In conclusion, active noise control is affected by the	
frequency and shape of the noise and by the distance between the noise source and anti-noise source.	
Summary Statement	
Active poise control works well with low frequency noises and small distances between the noise and	
anti-noise, therefore neadphones are the best application of active noise control.	
Help Received	
My mother helped format various materials. My father helped build apparatus and edit the report.	