

CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR 2015 PROJECT SUMMARY

Name(s)	Project Number
Isabella U. Hurvitz	
	35140
Project Title	
What Does It Take to Make Clean Water in a Contaminated World?	
	$\sim \sqrt{2}$
Objectives/Goals Abstract	J V
The objective of the project was to determine which inexpensive water tream	nem method was most
efficient by looking at its ability to reduce water turbidity and bacteria. The p	
with the purpose of finding a treatment that filters water effectively and is a	o well suited for the
economic capabilities of the people in developing countries.	\searrow
Methods/Materials	Ya Sauruan MINI madia
The treatment methods that I tested were: the LifeStrate media filtering straw membrane device, Potable Aqua Iodine Tablets, the Steripen Emergency UV	Purifier and boiling Water
from Lake Los Carneros was treated using each method and esimpared to sin	pples of untreated lake water
and Kirkland Drinking Water. The turbidity of all collected samples was near	sured using a Hach 2100AN
Turbidimeter. Then, bacterial colonies were grown on agar plates with swabs after 24 hours. The efficiency of the methods was judged by the change in tu	of each sample and counted
after 24 hours. The efficiency of the methods was judged by the change in tu	rbidity and the amount of
bacteria colonies grown due to treatment.	
Results The Sawyer MINI is the overall most effective treatment with a 99 62% aver	age difference, while all of
The Sawyer MINI is the overall most effective treatment with a 99.62% average difference, while all of the other treatments did not achieve an average difference greater than 60%. Individually, however, the	
device that was able to reduce bacteria most entry was the Sawyer MINI which had a 99.65%	
difference after treatment and the device that had the best results with reducing turbidity was the	
LifeStraw media filter straw which had a 9.8% difference after treatment. None of the treatments were	
able to reduce the quality of the lake water to that of the Kirkland Water which had a 99.99% average difference.	
Conclusions/Discussion	
The initial question of the experiment asked which kind of inexpensive water treatment method is most	
The initial question of the experiment asked which kind of inexpensive water treatment method is most efficient at reducing water turbidity and total bacteria. The results determined that the Sawyer MINI	
filtering device was the overal flow of ficient treatment. The second part of the question asked how	
method and design affect treatment efficiency. This is answered by looking at the design and method of	
the Sawyer MINI treatment which is a media filter that uses a hollow fiber membrane. A real-world application for the results of the experiment would be to provide Sawyer MINI devices to people in	
developing countries and in the research continued, a better option could be f	ound with the potential to
benefit many lives.	
Summary Statement	
The project compared the efficiency of different inexpensive water treatment	methods by judging the
treatments' effects of reducing the turbidity and bacteria of lake water.	
Help Received	
Samantha Nguyen- UCSB grad student answered questions about microorgan	nisms and culturing bacteria;
Dr. Mark Morey provided access to the 2100AN Turbidimeter; Chemist Julie	
for appropriate scientific technique	