

CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR 2015 PROJECT SUMMARY

	D · AN I
Name(s)	Project Number
Shafieen Ibrahim; Keval Shah	
	1
	25000
Project Title	35686
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
Effects of Technology-Assisted vs. Hand-Written Note Taking Methods	
on Academic Results on Science and History Tests	
Objectives/Goals Abstract	
As technology advances as the years pass by, schools have gradually implement	ed increasing use of
technology in the curriculum. Administrators believe the incorporation of technology	ology in the curriculum
will enhance and enrich the students# learning and education. However, we want	nt to investigate whether
technology has a beneficial effect on teens# academic performances	7
Methods/Materials We used these materials: science and history lecture yields (information paper)	nancile avam nanare
We used these materials: science and history lecture vide s/information, paper, laptops. First, we presented the science lecture and had students take notes exhibition were given 20 minutes to study, and another 20 minutes to take the test. This w	er by hand or lapton. They
were given 20 minutes to study, and another 20 minutes to take the test. This w	as repeated for the history
lecture.	
Results	
For the science and history assessments, we found that the students who hand-wrote their notes achieved better results on the assessments than the students who used a computer. The average science results for	
handwritten grades was an 87%. However the average for the conjouterized science grades was 61.3%.	
handwritten grades was an 87%. However the average for the conjouterized science grades was 61.3%. For history, the average results of computerized rotetakers was 59% while the handwritten notetakers#	
results averaged out to 82%.	
Conclusions/Discussion A goording to our regults, we conclude that students who are their notes received better test	
According to our results, we concluded that students who hand-wrote their notes received better test grades than those who typed them. With our discovery, we can possibly help students improve their test taking skills. Some sources of experimental error: rowdy classroom behavior, inadequate number of test	
taking skills. Some sources of experimental error: rowdy classroom behavior, in	nadequate number of test
subjects, and some of the information in the lectures was too long and presented	d too quickly.
((//)) *	
Summary Statement	
Our project is testing to see whether or not students studying from handwritten	notes will do better than
those who are studying from their computerized notes on science and history te	sts.
Help Received	
None	
INOME	