

CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR 2015 PROJECT SUMMARY

Name(s)	Project Number
Sidharth S. Subbarao	
	35919
Project Title	$\langle \rangle$
Dexterity of a Soft Robotic Gripper	
	\sim
	$\sim \sqrt{7}$
Abstract	
The objective of my project is to investigate how the flevibility of a robotion	affaits its performance
in terms of dexterity. The experiment compares a conventional claw erisper an	a bioinspired soft
robotic hand for their ability to maintain grip and lift objects of various shares	The soft robotic hand is
made of silicone rubber and operates on air pressure allowing it to inflate and c	relation the object it
grips. Both the claw gripper and the soft robotic hand are evaluated individual	y by mounting them upon a
3-axis robotic arm.	
Methods/Materials	
The Robotic Arm and the claw gripper are built using the parts included in X.	retail version of the LEGO
MINDSTORMS NXT set (8527). The soft gripper is made by powring a nixtur	e of Ecoflex silicone
material into a 3D printed mold and letting it solidify. With an air tube, a hole i	s punctured at the center of
the gripper. The gripper is inflated to curl and grip the objects with the help of a	a squeeze bulb attached to
the other end of the air tube. The materials needed are Ecoflex 00-30, Ecoflex	00-50, Polaroid Squeeze
bulb, polyethylene tubing and a 3d printed mold made of ABS playtic.	
Results	
The results indicate that the soft robot is adept at gripping curved objects with	varying circumference.
However, the soft robot could not lift certain dojects, such as the small plastic e	egg, the ruler, and the 1.V
remote, all of which was held with ease by the claw supper. The soft gripper of	our anough to clean such
due to their skinny, rectangular fature. The son gripper's appendages could not	curl enough to clasp such
Conclusions/Discussion	
The results reveal that the soft gripper's better suited towards holding curved a	nd irregular objects while
the claw gripper excels at holding small or recongular shaped object. My hypo	thesis in this experiment
was that the soft gripper would sufperform the claw gripper in terms of dextering	ty. My hypothesis was
validated, because the soft robot was able to lit the curved irregular objects that	t the claw gripper could
not. The soft gripper could not hold the small rectangular objects because its a	bility to curl was limited by
its larger size. If a smaller received the soft gripper was made, it would be abl	e to curl around and hold
smaller objects. In order to further investigate upon these gripper's uses, further	experimentation could be
conducted.	
Summary Statement	
Comparing the dexterity of a conventional claw gripper and a bio-inspired soft	silicone gripper that are
mounted individually on a robotic arm.	
Help Received	
Dr.Li of Avid Academy helped me choose the topic; Mr.Ben of Science Buddie	es helped with trouble
shooting while making the soft gripper. My mom helped me get the component	ts and reviewed the report.